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#### Abstract

In future high-speed self-routing photonic networks based on all-optical time division out packet switching, clock recovery and demultplexing in the optical domain in order to avoid the bottleneck due to the optoelectronics conversion. In this paper we propose a self-routing OTDM node structure composed of an all-optical router and demultiplexers based on the symmetric MachZehnder (SMZ) and chained symmetric Mach-Zehder (CSMZ) for high bit rate OTDM demultiplexing, respectively. The paper investigates both numerically and by means of simulation the noise and crosstalk characteristics of the both single channel and multiple channel demultiplexers and the bit error rate (BER) performance of the proposed OTDM node. For BER of $10-9$ and the total bit rates of 100 and 200 Gbps the power penalty incurred are about 2 and 2.5 dB , respectively compared with 2.5 Gbps back-to-back (B-B) system.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing aggregate demand of electrically based time division multiplexing systems would have coped with the steady growth rate of voice traffic. However, since 1990, the explosive growth of the Internet and other bandwidth demanding multimedia applications, has meant that long-haul telecommunication traffic has been increasingly dominated by data not voice traffic [1]. Such systems suffer from a bandwidth bottleneck due to speed limitations of the electronics currently at less that 40 Gbps. This bottleneck limits the maximum data rate to considerably less than the THz bandwidth offered by an optical fibre. All-optical technology is proposed as the only viable option and is expected to play an ever increasing role in future ultra-high speed links/networks. Packet switching systems based on the dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) and OTDM or combination of both technologies are capable of fully realising the ultra-high speed optical networks as a means to overcome the bandwidth bottleneck imposed by electrical TDM [1, 2]. In DWDM, a number of different data channels are allocated to discrete optical wavelengths offering a
data rate of $>160 \mathrm{Gbps}$ using a large of number of wavelength over a single fibre link [3-6]. There are a number of problems associated with the WDM systems such as (i) performance being highly dependent on the nonlinearities associated with fibre (Stimulated Raman scattering, Four wave mixing, and Cross phase modulation), and (ii) relatively static optical paths, thus offering no fast switching with high performance within the network. In OTDM scheme, a data packet is generated by interleaving time-delayed ultra-short optical pulse carriers (at single wavelength) with each is modulated with data signal at the base rate. Nevertheless, simultaneous demultiplexing of multiple high-speed OTDM channels is a challenging task due to the increased number of channels and the complexity of optoelectronic and optical devices operating at high bit rate ( $\geq 100 \mathrm{Gbit} / \mathrm{s}$ ) resulting in a need of a solution in the optical domain [7]. The all-optical solution provides a scalable, flexible high bandwidth on demand (in excess of a few hundred Gbit/s) platform to meet the future service demands of the network [8 - 11]. In addition, it offers self-routing and selfclocking capabilities and can operate at 2nd and 3rd transmission windows ( 1300 nm and 1500 nm ) for both broadcast and switched based networks. OTDM can be used side-by-side with WDM to further increase the network capacity especially at the back bone.

## 2. Principle of OTDM

Figure 1 show the generic block diagram of an OTDM transmission system including the all-optical switching node, where N optical base-line data channels, each of capacity M Gbps are multiplexed to give an aggregate rate of $\mathrm{N} x \mathrm{M}$ Gbps. Both multiplexing and demultiplexing could be implemented either passively or actively. The former is based around mono-mode fibre components that are simple and cost effective, whereas the latter uses active device, such as $2 \times 1$ elect optic sampling switches, semiconductor optical amplifiers, and integrated optics to carry out multiplexing and demultiplexing [12-14]. The light source is a laser with high stability producing ultra-short pulses (<2 ps ). Direct modulation of the laser source is possible but the preferred method is based on employing external modulation where the optical signal is gated by the electronic data. The combination of these techniques allows the time division multiplexed data to be encoded inside a sub-nanosecond time slot, which is subsequently interleaved into a frame format. Optical interleaving can be carried out at the
bit level or at the packet level where blocks of bits are interleaved sequentially. Here we have adopted the former option.

A simple conceptual description of a bit interleaved multiplexer is shown in Fig. 2. It uses a number of different length optical fibre delay lines (FDL) to interleave the channels. The propagation delay of each FDL is chosen to position the optical channel in its corresponding time slot in relation to the aggregate OTDM signal. Prior to this each optical pulse train is modulated by the data stream. The output of the modulators and an un-delayed pulse train, labelled the framing signal, are combined using a star coupler, or combiner, to produce the high bit rate OTDM signal. As shown in Fig. 2, the framing pulse is incorporated for clock recovery purpose (for details see section 3.3).

An OTDM packet is composed of a clock bit, address bits and payload, and a packet guard band. Multiplexing of the clock signal (CS) with each packet can be carried out in a number of ways such as: space division multiplexing (SDM), WDM, orthogonal polarization, intensity division multiplexing and time division multiplexing [15]. In SDM, the optical clock signal and payload are carried on separate fibres. Although this scheme is the simplest to implement, it has two main drawbacks: (i) the time varying differential delay between the clock and data signals due to temperature variation, which may affect fibres unequally, and (ii) high installation cost. In WDM scheme, different wavelengths are allocated to CS and payload [16]. This technique is only practical for predetermined path lengths between nodes in single hop networks such as point-to-point links or broadcast-and-select star networks. In a nondeterministic optical path length for asynchronous packet switching scheme, the relative delay between the CS and payload will be stochastic. Orthogonallypolarized clock synchronization schemes are more suitable for small size networks [17], whereas in larger networks correct polarization maintenance throughout the network is rather difficult due to the fibre polarization mode dispersion and other nonlinear effects. Although synchronization based on transmission of high intensity optical CS offers simplicity, maintaining the clock position and its intensity level over a long transmission span is a problem due to the impact of fibre non-linearities [18]. Multiplexing of the CS in time domain with the same intensity and wavelength as the data signal is the preferred option, which is adopted in this work.

In photonic networks at the intermediate nodes packets are optically dropped and/or inserted via an add/drop unit, see Fig. 1. At the receiving end the OTDM signal are demultiplexed to recover the individual base-line data channels.
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Fig.1: Block diagram of a typical OTDM transmission system.

### 2.1. OTDM Node

Figure 3 shows a block diagram of an OTDM switching node compose of two sections: a routing and channel add/drop module. The router is composed of clock and address bits extraction modules, a $1 \times \mathrm{M}$ optical switch, optical delay lines, and a number of optical amplifiers, whereas the add/drop module is composed of an SMZ demultiplexer and time slot multiplexer. At the add/drop module a particular channel within the switched OTDM packet is recovered by means of a single channel demultiplexer. At the node, the CS is extracted from the received OTDM packet using two inline SMZs which will be used as the CP for address extraction and demultiplexer modules. The extracted address bits are used as the CP in the $1 \times \mathrm{M}$ optical switch to route the entire delayed OTDM packet to one of its output ports. Detail operation of the router can be found in [19] and [20].

### 2.2. Demultiplexer

A number of optical configurations for OTDM demultiplexing have been proposed including the photonic serial-to-parallel converter (PSPC) based on the Lithium Niobate electro-opto modulator [21], surface-emitted second-harmonic generation (SESHG) employing hybridized semiconductor/silica waveguide circuits [22] and an array of optical singlechannel SOA-based demultiplexers [23 - 25]. The SOA is the fundamental building block for the majority of optical switches because of its high extinction ratio, low cost and easy integration with
other devices. Among these structures, the latter, based on the cross-phase modulation (XPM) in conjunction with interferometric configurations, is the most promising approach for current and future ultrahigh-capacity OTDM switching and demultiplexing because of its high extinction ratio, low cost and compact size, thermal stability, symmetrical SW profile, and low power operation [15][26][27]. The SOA-based demultiplexer includes different schemes such as terahertz optical asymmetric demuliplexer (TOAD) [23], ultrafast nonlinear interferometer (UNI) [24] and SMZ [25]. TOAD-based switches are composed of a short fibre loop and a nonlinear element (NLE) placed asymmetrically off the centre point of the loop [23]. Demultiplexing function is achieved solely by means of phase modulation. With the NLE being offcentred, an asymmetrical switching window (SW) profile is obtained due to the counter-propagating nature of the control pulse ( CP ) against the data pulse within the loop. These characteristics of the TOADbased switches result in an increased crosstalk (CXT) and noise. The SW of the UNI is determined by the birefringence of the fibre used to separate the orthogonally-polarized components of the data pulses in time domain [28]. The main drawback of the UNI switch is its poor integrate-ability, since it requires at least 15 m of birefringent fibre to achieve the switching process [29]. Furthermore the switch needs to maintain and control the polarization to ensure reliability, which adds to the cost and complexity of the switch [30].
The SMZ structure provides the highest flexibility with a narrowest and symmetrical SW profile [19] [31]. Recently, for the first time, we reported an alloptical clock recovery module and a $1 \times 2$ OTDM router employing SMZs [19]. A $1 \times 2$ router based on TOADs has been proposed for all-optical address recognition and single bit self-routing in a Banyan type network [32]. However, the orthogonallypolarized CP used in the router is rather difficult to maintain due to the polarization mode dispersion inherent in the optical fibre link. This problem can be avoided by using packets with signals that have identical polarization, intensity, pulse width and wavelength. In this paper we propose an all optical OTDM node structure composed of a router, a demultiplexer based on the SMZ, optical preamplifier and optical receiver. Here we propose and investigate single-channel and multi-channels demultiplexing based on SMZs. The former is relatively straightforward, whereas the later based on a chained SMZ configuration requiring only twothirds of the number of SOAs compared with scheme
using an array of SMZ. Theoretical investigation of the BER performance of OTDM systems employing an all-optical demultiplexer and an optical receiver has been studied in [33] and [34]. Here we show an OTDM node structure where packet routing and channel demultiplexing is carried out in all-optical domain. Since practical evaluation of the BER performance of the proposed scheme requires complex and costly test bed, we have used a dedicated simulation package to carry out detailed simulation and evaluation of the proposed OTDM node. Predicted BER performance is compared with the simulation results, which in turn are assessed against a $2.5 \mathrm{Gbps} \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ system.
The structure of this paper is as follows. The operation principles of the SMZ switch, CSMZ demultiplexer, the noise and crosstalk characteristics of the switch and the BER analysis are outlined in section 2. Simulation model and results are presented in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, the concluding remarks are given.


Fig. 2: A block diagram of bit interleaved OTDM


Fig.3: OTDM system block diagram with the proposed OTDM node

## 3. Theory

### 3.1. SMZ

A MZ configuration using two SOAs, one in each arm of the interferometer placed asymmetrically, and a number of $3-\mathrm{dB}$ couplers are shown in Fig. 4. The operation principle is based on the optically induced refractive index change within the SOA when injected with the appropriately synchronised optical control signals that alter the phase conditions of data signals in the interferometer, thus resulting in switching. The induced non-linearity in the SOA is relatively long lived (hundreds of picoseconds) and therefore could be expected to limit the switching speed. Control and data signals, with orthogonal polarization, are fed into the switch via $3-\mathrm{dB}$ couplers and co-propagate within the switch. In the absence of CPs, the data signals entering the switch via a coupler (C1) split into two equal intensity signals with 900 phase shift, E1(0) and E2( $\pi / 2$ ), which propagate along the upper and lower arms of the interferometer, respectively. Couplers C2 and C3 are in the bar state for data signal therefore, introducing no additional phase shift $\Delta \phi$ in the interferometer. With no CP present, E1 and E2 will experience the same relative $\Delta \phi$ during propagation and recombine at the output of C 4 . The data signals at the output ports $(\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{P}) 1$ and 2 are given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\text {out }, 1}=E_{\text {out }}^{U A}(0)+E_{\text {out }}^{L A}(\pi)  \tag{1}\\
& E_{\text {out }, 2}=E_{\text {out }}^{U A}(\pi / 2)+E_{\text {out }}^{L A}(\pi / 2) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{\text {out }}^{U A}$ and $E_{\text {out }}^{L A}$ are the signals at the output of the SOA in the upper and lower arms of the interferometer, respectively. Note that from (1), there is no signals emerging from the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{P} 1$. However, with CPs present $\Delta \phi$ is introduced between the two arms of the interferometer, thus causing the data signals to be switched to the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{P} 1$, see Fig. 4. To achieve a complete switching at $\Delta \phi=\pi$, CP1 enters the interferometer via C 2 just before the target data signal. The CP will then saturate SOA1, thus changing its gain as well as its phase characteristics. When the data signal enters the interferometer following the CP1, it will experience a different $\Delta \phi$ (i.e. $\pi$ ) in the upper arm relative to the lower arm. The data signal emerging from the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{P} 1$ is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {out }, 1}=E_{\text {out }}^{U A}(\pi)+E_{\text {out }}^{L A}(\pi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

No signal will emerges from the O/P2 since E1 and E2 components will cancel each other, see Fig. 4(a).

Introducing the second CP2, delayed by Tdelay with respect to CP1, just after the data signal, into the interferometer via C 3 will saturate SOA2, thus resulting in the same $\Delta \phi$ as in the upper arm, thereby resetting the switch. Therefore, with this mechanism the SMZ switch-on-and-off time is controlled by fast optical excitation process which overcomes the slow relaxation time. Note that Tdelay determines the SMZ nominal width of the SW. An optical polarization beam splitter (PBS) is used to separate the data and control signals at the output port of the SMZ. Practical switches and $3-\mathrm{dB}$ couplers would normally have a small amount of net loss, which can be compensated by the gain of the SOAs. If necessary an additional amplifier could be incorporated at the output of the SMZ, but this will introduce additional noise.


Fig.4: SMZ switch block diagram; (a) single channel, and (b) multi-channels

The electric fields at the O/P1 and O/P2 of the SMZ, in terms of the relative gain G and phase $\phi$ of the incident fields within the upper and lower arms can be expressed as:

$$
\binom{E_{\text {out, } 1}(t)}{E_{\text {out }, 2}(t)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(1-\alpha)^{1 / 2} & j \alpha^{1 / 2}  \tag{4}\\
j \alpha^{1 / 2} & (1-\alpha)^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{E_{2, i n}^{U A}(t) G_{1}(t) e^{-j \phi}}{E_{l, i n}^{L A}(t) G_{2}(t) e^{-j \phi}}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the coupling factor. For $\alpha$ of 0.5 ,
$\left|E_{1, i n}^{U A}(t)\right|=\left|E_{1, i n}^{L A}(t)\right|$ and the relative phase difference is $\pi / 2$, thus the signal powers at the output of the SMZ are given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\text {out }, 1}(t)=0.125 P_{\text {in }}(t) \cdot\left[G_{1}(t)+G_{2}(t)-2 \sqrt{G_{1}(t) \cdot G_{2}(t)} \cos (\Delta \phi)\right](5) \\
& P_{\text {out }, 2}(t)=0.125 P_{\text {in }}(t) \cdot\left[G_{1}(t)+G_{2}(t)+2 \sqrt{G_{1}(t) \cdot G_{2}(t)} \cos (\Delta \phi)\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \phi=-0.5 \alpha_{L E F} \ln \left(G_{1} / G_{2}\right)$, $\alpha$ LEF is the linewidth enhancement factor, G1 and G2 are the temporal gain profiles of the SOAs1 and 2, respectively [35].

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}(t)=\exp \left[\int_{0}^{L_{\text {SOA }}} \Gamma \cdot g\left(z, t+\frac{z}{V_{g}}\right) d z\right]  \tag{7}\\
& G_{2}(t)=\exp \left[\int_{0}^{L_{\text {SoA }}} \Gamma \cdot g\left(z, t+T_{\text {delay }}+\frac{z}{V_{g}}\right) d z\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the confinement factor, $g$ represents the differential gain of data and control pulses, $t$ the time at which the temporal point of the data pulse enters the amplifier, Tdelay the temporal delay between the control pulses, $\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{Vg}$ the time increment in the z direction, Vg is the group velocity of the control pulse and $K$ is the linewidth enhancement factor.

The SW is obtained by normalising (5) to $\operatorname{Pin}(t)$ as given in:
$W_{i}(t)=0.125\left[G_{1}(t)+G_{2}(t)-2 \sqrt{G_{1}(t) G_{2}(t)} \cos (\Delta \phi)\right]$
According to (9), the SMZ switch can provide an additional gain to the target signal, thus ensuring that the SW gain > 1. To solve (9) one needs to know precise value of $\alpha \mathrm{LEF}$ and the gain profiles of the data signals at the output of the SOA1 and SOA2, respectively.

### 3.2. M-channel CSMZ

A M-channel CSMZ demultiplexer, Fig. 4(b), comprises of an $1 \times \mathrm{M}$ input splitter, a number of 3 $\mathrm{dB} 2 \times 2$ input CI, x and output CO, x couplers ( x is channel number), $2 \times 1$ combiners, $1 \times 2$ splitters (see Table I) and identical SOAs in $\mathrm{M}+1$ CSMZ arms (A1 to AM+1). The inclusion of $3-\mathrm{dB}$ attenuators located at each arm will ensure identical optical powers at the SOAs and CO,x couplers, thereby
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ensuring a balance state between each pair of adjacent arms. Thus, in the absence of a CP, no input signal emerges at the CSMZ output ports. For demultiplexing purposes, the extracted clock signal is split to $M+1$ high-powered CPs with equal intensities. CP1 is applied to SOA1, just prior to the arrival of the data channel 1 to the interferometer I1,2, for setting I1,2 to a imbalance state where SOA1 and SOA2 will have different gain and phase profiles, thus demultiplexing the data channel 1 to the output 1. Since all other interferometers $\mathrm{Ik}, \mathrm{k}+1$ are still in the balance state, there should be no signal emerging from the other outputs. CP2 (delayed by $1 \times \mathrm{Tb}$ ) is applied to SOA2 resulting in two simultaneous effects: (i) restoration of a balance state in I1,2 and (ii) creation of an imbalance state in I2,3 because of the gain and phase difference between SOA2 and SOA3. Thus, demultiplexing the data channel 2 to the CSMZ output 2. Similarly, demultiplexing of the remaining channels is carried out by applying the delayed CPs to the appropriate SOAs with delay by $(x-1) \times \mathrm{Tb}$ to demultiplex the corresponding xth channel. Note that to complete demultiplexing of Mth channel, $\mathrm{CPM}+1$ is applied to $\mathrm{AM}+1$ to restore the balance state in IM, M+1.
Assume that the electrical field of the input signal is Ein [36], the fields at M-CSMZ output ports are determined by:
$E_{o \_1}=0.5 E_{i n} M^{-0.5}\left[K_{1,1} g_{1} e^{-j \phi_{1}}-K_{1,2} g_{2} e^{-j \phi_{2}}\right]$
$E_{o_{-} m}=0.5 E_{i n} M^{-0.5}\left[K_{m, 1} g_{m+1} e^{-j \phi_{m+1}}-K_{m, 2} g_{m} e^{-j \phi_{m}}\right]$
$E_{o \_m+1}=0.5 E_{i n} M^{-0.5}\left[K_{m+1,1} g_{m+1} e^{-j \phi_{m+1}}-K_{m+1,2} g_{m+2} e^{-j \phi_{m+2}}\right]$
$E_{O_{-} M}=0.5 E_{i n} M^{-0.5}\left[K_{M, 1} g_{M+1} e^{-j \phi_{M+1}}-K_{M, 2} g_{M} e^{-j \phi_{M}}\right]$
where gk and phase $\phi \mathrm{k}$ are the field gain and phase, respectively, of a complex gain of SOAk induced on the electrical field of signal propagating through [36] $(1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{M}+1)$. m is even and the coefficients Kij are computed by (11) where $\alpha \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{x}$ and $\alpha \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{x}$ are the coupling factors of the input $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{x}$ and output $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{x}$ couplers, respectively.
$K_{1,1}=\left(1-\alpha_{1,1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\alpha_{0,1}\right)^{1 / 2} ; K_{1.2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1.1}^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{1.2}^{1 / 2}\right) \alpha_{0.1}^{1 / 2} ;$
$K_{m 1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\alpha_{0, m}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\left(1-\alpha_{1, m}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(1-\alpha_{1, m+1}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] ; K_{m 2}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{0, m}^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{1, m 1}^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{1, m}^{1 / 2}\right)$
$\left.K_{n+1+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\alpha_{0, m+1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[1-\alpha_{1, m}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(1-\alpha_{1, n+1}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] ; K_{m+12}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{0, n+1}^{y_{0}}\left(\alpha_{1, n+1}^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{1, n+2}^{1 / 2}\right) ;$
$K_{M, 1}=\left(1-\alpha_{1, M}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\alpha_{0, M}\right)^{1 / 2} ; K_{M, 2}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{0, M}^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{1, M-1}^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{1, M}^{1 / 2}\right)$
The demultiplexing switching window gains are therefore computed by (12) with
$D W_{x}=P_{o_{-} x} / P_{i n}=\left(E_{o_{-} x} E_{o_{-} x}^{*}\right) /\left(E_{\text {in }} E_{\text {in }}^{*}\right)$ and the power gain $G$ relates to $g$ as $G=g^{2}$ [36].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D W_{1}=\frac{1}{4 M}\left[K_{1,1}^{2} G_{1}+K_{1,2}^{2} G_{2}-2 K_{1,1} K_{1,2} \sqrt{G_{1} G_{2}} \cos \Delta \phi_{1,2}\right] \\
& D W_{m+1}=\frac{1}{4 M}\left[K_{m+1,1}^{2} G_{m+1}+K_{m+1,2}^{2} G_{m+2}-2 K_{m+1,1} K_{m+1,2} \sqrt{G_{m+1} G_{m+2}} \cos \Delta \phi_{m+1, m+2}\right] \\
& D W_{m}=\frac{1}{4 M}\left[K_{m, 1}^{2} G_{m+1}+K_{m, 2}^{2} G_{m}-2 K_{m, 1} K_{m, 2} \sqrt{G_{m} G_{m+1}} \cos \Delta \phi_{m, m+1}\right] \\
& D W_{M}=\frac{1}{4 M}\left[K_{M, 1}^{2} G_{M+1}+K_{M, 2}^{2} G_{M}-2 K_{M, 1} K_{M, 2} \sqrt{G_{M} G_{M+1}} \cos \Delta \phi_{M, M+1}\right] \\
& \quad \text { where } \Delta \phi_{i, j}=-0.5 \alpha_{L E F} \ln \left(G_{i} / G_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(12)

### 3.3. Bit error rate (BER)

### 3.3.1. Router and demultiplexer

The dropped channel (i.e. demultiplexed by SMZ) is amplified and band limited via an optical preamplifier and an optical band-pass filter respectively, before being processed by the optical receiver to recover the original 2.5 Gbps data stream. The receiver unit consists of an ideal PIN photodetector, an amplifier, a sixth order electrical low-pass Bessel filter, a sampler and a threshold level detector, see Fig. 3. The system model for the BER analysis is adapted from [33] and [34]. The main sources of the noise are the relative intensity noise (RIN), SOA spontaneous emission (ASE), and noises associated with the receiver. It is assumed that noise associated with the source is negligible. RIN is caused by the combination of the timing jitters (mainly introduced by the ASE noise of lumped optical amplifier) between the control and signal pulses and a nonsquare SW profile of the SMZ, thus resulting in the intensity fluctuation of target signals and in the switching power penalty. RIN is defined in terms of the variance $\mathrm{V}(\tau)$ and the expected value $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{w}(\tau)]$ of the target signal energy which is given as [32]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{RIN}(\tau)=\frac{V(\tau)}{E^{2}[w(\tau)]} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A non-ideal SW with a finite extinction ratio (ER) will result in CXT. CXT is defined in terms of nontarget channels and target channel powers Po-nt and Pot, respectively which is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C X T=10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{\frac{1}{T_{c}} \int_{o-n t}^{T_{0}+T_{c}-T_{b} / 2} W(t) p_{p}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t}{P_{o-t}}=\frac{\frac{1}{T_{c}} \int_{c}^{t_{0}+T_{b} / 2} \int_{t_{0}-T_{b} / 2}^{t_{b} / 2} W(t) p_{p}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t}{}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{pp}(\mathrm{t})$ is the periodic train of data signal, Tb is the data bit duration, t 0 is the centre of the SW, and Tc is the CP period. One interesting characteristic of SMZ switch is that both data and control signals copropagate within the switch, thus resulting in reduced residual CXT compared with the TOAD-based switches where a small XGM between the counterpropagating pulses results in residual CXT [31], [37]. With reference to Fig. 5, the normalized total output power Po of two cascading SMZ stages induced CXT is computed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{o}=P_{i}\left(1+C X T_{1}\right)\left(1+C X T_{2}\right)=P_{i}\left(1+C X T_{t}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the total CXT defined in terms of the 1 st and $2^{\text {nd }}$ stages $\left(C X T_{t}=C X T_{1}+C X T_{2}+C X T_{1} C X T_{2}\right)$, and Pi is the power at the input.
The mean photocurrents for mark Im, and space Is are given as [33], [34], [38]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{I}_{\mathrm{m}}=K \times\left(2 P_{s}\right) \times\left(1+C X T_{t}\right) \\
& \bar{I}_{\mathrm{s}}=K \times\left(2 P_{s}\right) \times\left(C X T_{t}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K=\eta_{\text {amp2-in }} G_{\text {amp2 } 2} \eta_{\text {amp2-out }} L_{\text {of }} R_{p}, \quad \mathrm{Rp}$ is the responsivity of the photodetector, $\eta$ amp2-in and namp2-out are the input and output coupling efficiencies of pre-amplifier, respectively, Gamp2 is the pre-amplifier gain, Lof is the optical filter loss, and Ps is the average received power without CXT. Assuming that the probabilities of the transmitted mark and space are equally likely (i.e. 0.5), the average received power for mark is 2 Ps .
With reference to Fig. 5, the system is composed of four cascading amplification stages, thus the total noise figure NFtot for all stages is given as [39]:
$N F_{\text {tot }}=N F_{\text {ampl }}+\frac{N F_{S W}}{G_{\text {ampl }}}+\frac{N F_{\text {demux }}}{G_{\text {ampl }} G_{S W}}+\frac{N F_{\text {amp2 }}}{G_{\text {ampl }} G_{S W} G_{\text {demux }}}$
The average photo-current equivalent of ASE is given by [33], [34], [38]:
$I_{\text {ASE-tot }}=0.5 N F_{\text {tot }} G_{\text {tot }} \eta_{\text {amp } 2-\text { out }} q B_{o} L_{\text {of }}$
where Gtot $=$ Gamp $1 \times$ GSW $\times$ Gdemux $\times$ Gamp2, Bo is the optical bandwidths and $q$ is the electron charge.
The noise sources contributing to the deterioration of the signal are the RIN $\sigma_{R I N}^{2}$ from the source and from the last SMZ (i.e. SMZ-demux because of its narrow SW compared to the SMZ of the switch within the router), the ASE of SOAs in SMZ and pre-amplifier
$\sigma_{a m p}^{2}$ and the shot noise $\sigma_{s}^{2}$ and thermal noise $\sigma_{t h}^{2}$ at the receiver $\sigma_{\text {receiver }, x}^{2}$, defined as [33], [34]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{R I N, m}^{2}=\overline{I_{m}^{2}} R I N_{T} B_{e}+\left(2 P_{S} K\right)^{2} R I N_{S M Z-d e m u x}  \tag{19}\\
& \sigma_{R I N, s}^{2}=\overline{I_{s}^{2}} R I N_{T} B_{e}  \tag{20}\\
& \sigma_{a m p, x}^{2}=\frac{4 \overline{I_{x}} I_{\text {ASE-tot }} B_{e}}{B_{o}}+\frac{I_{\text {ASE-tot }}^{2}\left(2 B_{o}-B_{e}\right) B_{e}}{B_{o}^{2}}  \tag{21}\\
& \sigma_{\text {receiver }, x}^{2}=2 q\left(\overline{I_{x}}+I_{\text {ASE-tot }}\right) B_{e}+\left(\frac{4 k T_{k}}{R_{L}}+i_{a}^{2}\right) B_{e} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where RINT is the RIN of the transmitter. Here we only consider RIN contribution from the last SMZ stage, RINSMZ can be computed from [33] for a given value of RMSjitter. Be is the electrical bandwidth of the receiver, $x$ represents mark or space, k is the Boltzman's constant, Tk is the temperature in Kelvin, RL is the load resistance of photodetector and ia2 is the power spectral density of the electrical amplifier input noise current.
In (21), 1 st and 2 nd terms are the variances of the signal-ASE beat noise $\sigma_{S-A S E}^{2}$ and the ASE-ASE beat noise $\sigma_{A S E-A S E}^{2}$, respectively, whereas in (22) 1 st, 3 rd and 4th terms represent $\sigma_{s}^{2}, \sigma_{t h}^{2}$, and the amplifier noise, respectively. All noise sources are considered to be uncorrelated. As Bo >> Be, the beat noise is considered with Gaussian approximation. The total variance of noises is given by:
$\sigma_{t, x}^{2}=\sigma_{R I N, x}^{2}+\sigma_{a m p, x}^{2}+\sigma_{\text {receiver }, x}^{2}$
Adopting the same approach used in [33], [34], [38] the BER is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B E R=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\overline{I_{m}}-\overline{I_{s}}}{\sigma_{t, m}+\sigma_{t, s}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the expressions for the switching windows for SMZ and CSMZ are the same as in (9) and (12), respectively for $\alpha=0.5$ in (11), then (23) applies to both single channel and multi-channels demultiplexing.


Fig. 5: Cascaded amplifying stages

## 4. Simulation Model and Results

The proposed system shown in Fig. 3 is simulated using the Virtual Photonic Inc. (VPI) package, as shown in Fig. 6. The OTDM transmitter is composed of a single continuous-wave laser source at the wavelength of 1550 nm , a number of M-Z external modulators (modulated at the base rate of 2.5 Gbps ), and a number of fibre delay lines. The laser sources used at the OTDM node to generate OTDM packets have a 3 ps pulse width at the same wavelength of 1550 nm . The CP peak power depends on the size of the required SW at a particular SMZ. For the $1 \times M$ switch and demultiplexer modules the CPs have peak power of 215 and 90 mW , respectively. The CPs are passed through a 90 o orthogonal polarizer to distinguish it from the 1 mW data pulse since both are at the same wavelength. OFDLs are used to provide the delay Tdelay between the two CPs at the input of the SMZ and time synchronisation between the control and data pulses. Simulation results for the BER performance is compared with the predicted data. Here we first investigate the characteristic of the SMZ, 1 x M OTDM router and demultiplexer followed by the system BER.
(i) SMZ switch: Two methods have been used to evaluate the SW of the SMZ: a numerical model (modified version of [40]), and a VPI simulation model (see Fig. 6). All the parameters used in the simulation are listed in Table I. The full wave at half maximum (FWHM) of pulses used is 3 ps which less than the transition time of the SOA with a length of $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. For Tdelay of 10 ps the predicted switching gain profiles of data pulses, having propagated through the SOAs, are shown in Fig. 7. In the absence of CPs, a data pulse propagating through the SOAs experience an initial gain of 20.1 dB . The gain profile drop rapidly to a value of 2.8 dB after a high power and short duration CP is applied to saturate the SOAs. With identical gain profile, the SMZ SW profiles for a range of SW width ( $1-10 \mathrm{ps}$ ) are shown in the inset of Fig. 7.
Using (13) and (14) the SMZ RIN against the switching window width for different values of the RMSjitter are shown in Fig. 8(a). RIN increases with the RMSjitter particularly for narrower SW width. The maximum RIN values are approximately $-24,-18$ and -10 dB for RMSjitter values of $0.5,1$ and 2 ps , respectively. The RIN decreases as the SW width increases reaching a minimum value of $\approx-25.5 \mathrm{~dB}$ for almost all values of RMSjitter. Demultiplexing of non-target channels from the adjacent channels will occur unless the SW width is comparable to or smaller than the time slot of OTDM channels (but >

FWHM of the pulse). Figure 8(b) shows CXT against the SW width (or Tdelay) for the SOA length of 0.25 mm for different OTDM data rates. Lowest CXT is observed at low data rate of 2.5 Gbps increasing with the data rate. For all data rates, CXT increases with the SW size reaching maximum values of 0 and -4 dB for 100 and 200 Gbps data rate, respectively at SW width of 20 ps (a very wide SW compared to the data bit duration).
(ii) 1 x M OTDM router and demultiplexer: The OTDM packet having propagating through a long length of fibre and a number of SOAs, see Fig. 9(a), is split and fed into the clock, address extraction and the main switch (with dedicated delay) modules. The extracted CS with a high extinction ratio (ER) of 30 $d B$ is shown in Fig. 9(b). Figure 9(c) depicts the extracted address bit stream used as the CP in 1 X M switch for forwarding the whole OTDM packet to the correct output port as illustrated in Fig. 9(d). From Fig. 9(d) the ER is about 50 dB compared with 24 dB for the input OTDM packet. 36 dB gain in the ER is due to low residual gain residing outside the SMZ SW (see Fig. 7). The recovered OTDM channel at output of the demultiplexer is outlined in Fig. 9(e) with the ER of 44 dB . The drop in the ER is due to the noise associated with the SOA within the demultiplexer.


Fig. 6 : A schematic VPI® simulation model of $1 \times$ M OTDM system

### 4.1. BER Results

We have used theoretical and simulation methods to evaluate the BER performance of the OTDM node incorporating a router and a demultiplexer. In the
simulation we evaluated the BER for return-to-zero (RZ) pseudo random binary sequence (PRBS) of length of 213-1 and equal probability for mark and space. The base-band (B-B) bit rate is 2.5 Gbps with RZ pulse format to ensure that intersymbol interference induced by post-detection electrical filtering has negligible impact. All the receiver sensitivity measures are referred to an average BER of 10-9. All significant system parameters adopted from experimental work reported in [41], [42] and [43] are listed in Table 1. In VPI simulation, BER estimation is based on sampled signals with noise represented in noise bins by means of the global parameters. The noise bins bear the statistical information of the noise. Figure 10(a) shows the measured and simulated BER curves for the 2.5 Gbps B-B, 100 and 200 Gbps OTDM packets. The average received optical power Prx was measured for 2.5 Gbps B-B at the input of the optical receiver. For all measurements, it was ensured that the overall system gain is kept at 25 dB for the same optical receiver parameters. For high SOA gains the optical receiver sensitivity becomes dependent on the Bo and the signal-ASE and the ASE-ASE beat noises becomes dominant, and the.


Fig. 7: Gain profile of the data signals in SMZ, and inset is the resulting switching window with width from 1ps to 10 ps


Fig.8: (a) Relative intensity noise against the switching window width for different values of RMSjitter and (b) channel crosstalk against the switching window width for different total bit rates

Table 1: Transfer function of coupler, combiner and spliter

|  | Schematic | Transfer function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{2 \times 2}{\text { coupler }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathrm{in} \_1} \longrightarrow E_{\mathrm{o}_{-1}} \\ & E_{\mathrm{in}_{-} 2} \longrightarrow E_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \times 1 \\ \text { combiner } \end{gathered}$ |  | $E_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(E_{\text {in } \chi_{-} 1}+E_{\text {in }_{-} 2}\right)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \times M \\ \text { splitter } \end{gathered}$ |  | $E_{\mathrm{o}-1}=E_{\mathrm{o}-2}=\ldots=E_{\mathrm{o}-M} \frac{E_{\text {in }}}{\sqrt{M}}$ |



Fig. 9: Optical waveforms (a) input DM packet,(b) recovered clock pulses (inset clock bit stream), (c) address pulses (inset address bit stream), (d) switched OTDM packet and (e) demultiplexed channel (inset enlarged view)



Fig. 10: Numerical and simulated BER for 2.5, 100 and 200 Gbps , and (b) the eye diagram for demultiplexed data channel at 2.5 Gbps and BER of $\mathbf{1 0 - 9}$

As shown in Fig. 10(a), for the $2.5 \mathrm{Gbps} \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ without a router-demultiplexer, the calculated and simulated curves show good agreement, with only a small difference of $<0.4 \mathrm{~dB}$ at BER of 10-9. For 100 and 200 Gbps incorporating a router and a demultiplexer, the predicted BER curves display comparable characteristics, whereas the simulated curves are slightly worse with power penalties of 0.5 and 1 dB at BER of $10-9$ for 100 and 200 Gbps , respectively. Compared with the B-B case, at BER of $10-9$ the combined power penalties for the router and demultiplexer are about 2 and 2.5 dB for 100 and 200 Gbps , respectively. For $\mathrm{BER}<10-9$ the simulated power penalties increases by a few dB compared with predicted results. The most probable causes of the power penalties are mainly due to the RIN and ASE associated with SMZs and various insertion losses. Note that the SMZ switch with ER (> 30 dB ) results in time-switching with ERs in excess of 50 dB , effectively eliminating CXT interference. An intersection of BER curves at BER of 10-4 and 10-5 is explained as follow. In VPI simulation model the BER estimation is based on the bit stream reference, thus providing more accurate results for low values of Q (i.e. BER > 10-4) in contrast to the predicted results. Finally, Fig. 10(b) shows the simulated eye diagrams at the output yo(t) of optical receiver for a single channel OTDM at BER of 10-9.
Figure 11(a) illustrates the time waveforms of 8 demultiplexed data channels using CSMZ at the receiving end of OTDM system described in Fig. 1.

Figure 11(b) shows the power penalties for different demultiplexed data channels, showing an average value of $\sim 2 \mathrm{~dB}$, which are similar to the obtained power penalty of the dropped channel by a single SMZ.


Fig.11: CSMZ demultiplexing of 8 channels OTDM packet's with 8 channels payload; (a) demultiplexed channels using CSMZ, and (b) the received power penalties at different data channels

## 5. Conclusions

We have proposed and simulated an OTDM node with an all-optical packet router employing a $1 \times \mathrm{M}$ SMZ switch, and a CSMZ based demultiplexer for multiple-channel demultiplexing for high-speed (100200 Gbps) optical network. Simulation results demonstrated that clock recovery, address recognition, packet routing and OTDM channel demultiplexing are possible with very little or no crosstalk at all. We investigated the BER performances numerically and by means of software simulation which showed good agreement. For BER of $10-9$, the power penalty incurred are about 2 and 2.5 dB for 100 and 200 Gbps , respectively compared with $2.5 \mathrm{Gbps} \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ case. The main contributors to power penalties were the RIN and ASE of the SMZs and SOAs, respectively for both single and multiple channels demultiplexing. The router proposed has a
great potential for future ultra-high speed all-optical OTDM packet switched networks. CSMZ with shared SOA between two chained interferometer arms offered reduced complexity compared with the MZ based multiple-channel demultiplexers.

Table 2: System parameters

| Parameter | Values |
| :---: | :---: |
| SOA |  |
| Injection current | 0.15 A |
| Length | 0.25 mm |
| Active area | $2.4 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Transparent carrier density | $1.4 \times 10^{24} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ |
| Confinement factor | 0.15 |
| Differential gain | $2.78 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Linewidth enhancement | 5.0 |
| Recombination coeff. A | $1.43 \times 10^{8} 1 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Recombination coeff. B | $1.0 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Recombination coeff. C | $3.0 \times 10^{-41} \mathrm{~m}^{5} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Initial carrier density | $3.0 \times 10^{24} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ |
| Data and control signals |  |
| Data bit rate per channel $R_{b}$ | $2.5 \mathrm{Gbit} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| FWHM width of clock, address and data signals | 3 ps |
| Control and data wavelength | 1550 nm |
| Data signal peak power | 1 mW |
| Control signal peak power | 90 mW for $4-8 \mathrm{ps}$ and 185 mW for 120-160 ps switching window sizes |
| Rise and fall times | 1 ps |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\text {amos-in }}$ | 0 dB |
| $\eta_{\text {ampa2-out }}$ | 0 dB |
| Optical gain (overall) $\mathrm{G}_{\text {bot }}$ | 25 dB |
| Lof | -8.97 dB |
| $R_{0}$ | 1 A/W |
| $R_{L}$ | $50 \Omega$ |
| $T_{k}$ | 293 K |
| $i_{a}^{2}$ | $10 \mathrm{pA} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}$ |
| Electrical bandwidth $B_{e}$ | $0.7 R_{0}$ |
| Optical bandwidth $B_{0}$ | 125 GHz |
| RMSjuer | 1 ps |
| NF | 6 dB |
| Optical fibre length and loss | 30 km and 6 6 dB |
| DCF length and loss | 5.4 km and 3 dB |
| Optical $3 \mathrm{~dB} 2 \times 2$ couplers splitting ratio $\alpha$ | 50:50 |
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